

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/772,994	MORIZANE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Brian L. Mutschler	1753	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Amended

(1) Brian L. Mutschler.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Michele Connell.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 26 July 2004

**Time:** 11:40am

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*n/a*

Claims discussed:

*5 and 10*

Prior art documents discussed:

*n/a*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Brian L. Mutschler 7/26/2004  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Ms. Connell was contacted to suggest the replacement of the amended limitations of claims 5 and 10 with the limitations that were indicated allowable in the Office action mailed January 9, 2004. Ms. Connell approved the changes, which will be incorporated by Examiner's amendment.